TRAPPING REGION FOR LOTKA-VOLTERRA WITH A LOGISTIC MODIFICATION

S. SCHECTER

Yesterday in class we showed the existence of a triangular trapping region for this equation. However, the argument was incomplete because I wrongly *assumed* that a certain parabola had to open upward. Here is a better argument, in which the fact that the parabola opens upward is a *consequence* of other assumptions.

System (sec. 4.3.2 in the text with different letters for the constants):

$$\dot{x} = x(1 - y - \eta x),$$

$$\dot{y} = \gamma y(x - 1),$$

with η and γ positive. We assumed $\eta < 1$ in order to have an interior equilibrium, but this is not needed to get a trapping region.

The desired trapping region is the triangle bounded on the left by x = 0, below by y = 0 (both these lines are invariant), and above by the line

$$H(x,y) = \frac{x}{A} + \frac{y}{B} = 1, \quad A, B > 0$$

For a trapping region we need $\nabla H \cdot (\dot{x}, \dot{y}) \leq 0$ when H = 1 and $0 \leq x \leq A$. We have

$$\nabla H \cdot (\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = \frac{1}{A}x(1 - y - \eta x) + \frac{1}{B}\gamma y(x - 1).$$

When H = 1, $y = B - \frac{B}{A}x$. Substituting this expression into the above formula and simplifying, we find that when H = 1, $\nabla H \cdot (\dot{x}, \dot{y})$ is given by

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{A} \left(\left(\frac{B}{A} - \eta - \gamma \right) x^2 + \left(1 - B + \gamma A + \gamma \right) x - A\gamma \right).$$

The graph of z = h(x) is a parabola.

For a trapping region we need

$$h(x) \le 0 \text{ for } 0 \le x \le A. \tag{0.1}$$

Notice that

(1) $h(0) = -\gamma < 0$, i.e., the z-intercept of the parabola z = h(x), is negative. We claim that if A and B are large enough that

$$A \ge \frac{1}{\eta} \text{ and } B > (\eta + \gamma)A,$$
 (0.2)

then (0.1) is satisfied.

Since $B > (\eta + \gamma)A$,

(2) the coefficient of x^2 in h(x) is positive, i.e., the parabola z = h(x) opens upward. In addition,

Date: October 27, 2012.

(3)
$$h(A) = \frac{1}{A} \left(\left(\frac{B}{A} - \eta - \gamma \right) A^2 + \left(1 - B + \gamma A + \gamma \right) A - \gamma A \right) = -\eta A + 1 \le 0.$$

The inequality follows from the assumption $A \ge \frac{1}{\eta}$. Now (1), (2), and (3) imply (0.1).